A classification of nullity classes in the derived category of a ring

Yong Liu * and Don Stanley†
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Regina

version November 1, 2016

arXiv:1610.09523v1 [math.CT] 29 Oct 2016

Abstract

For a commutative Noetherian ring R with finite Krull dimension, we study the nullity classes in $\mathrm{D}^c_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$, the full triangulated subcategory $\mathrm{D}^c_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$ of the derived category $D(R)$ consisting of objects which can be represented by cofibrant objects with each degree finitely generated. In the light of perversity functions over the prime spectrum $\mathrm{Spec}R$, we prove that there is a complete invariant of nullity classes thus that of aisles (or equivalently, t-structures) in $\mathrm{D}^c_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$.

Contents

1 Introduction 2
2 Support and associated primes 3
3 Aisles, t-structures and nullity classes 5
4 Koszul complexes and annihilation 8
5 The key lemma 11
6 A complete invariant 15

* Email address is *yongliue@gmail.com*
† Email address is *Donald.Stanley@uregina.ca*

1 Introduction

The classification of various types of algebraic or geometric objects, such as finite simple groups and differentiable manifolds of dimension 4, is a very fundamental problem in many different areas of mathematics. Finding invariants is considered efficient to distinguish them, which in fact establishes a bijection from the collection of target objects to that of well-studied objects (such as finitely generated abelian groups or prime spectrum of a ring). Our research were concerned with the classification of subcategories. These problems naturally arose in homotopy theory (see Hopkins [12]) and have heavily influenced algebraic geometry (see Thomason [20] and Neeman [15]) and modular representation theory (see Benson, Iyengar and Krause [4]) since then. Explicitly, Gabriel [9] for example, showed that in the category of finitely generated modules over a commutative noetherian ring R, the Serre subcategories are one-to-one correspondent to the specialization closed subsets of the prime spectrum Spec$R$. Neeman in [15] and [16] showed that the (co)localizing subcategories of the derived category $D(R)$ are bijectively correspondent to the subsets of Spec$R$. More recently in the context of tensor triangulated category, the radical thick tensor ideals are classified by the closed subsets (thanks to Hochster dual [11]) of Balmer's spectrum of the tensor triangulated category, see Balmer [2].

In this paper, we are particularly interested in the classification of t-structures. The notion of t-structures was introduced in the work of Beilinson, Bernstein and Deligne [3], which commenced the theory from a simple idea of truncation of complexes and connected an abelian category and its derived category in a homological way. Later on, Keller and Vossieck [14] pointed out that t-structures are bijectively correspondent to the subcategories aisles (see Theorem 3.4). Nullity classes a generalization of aisles, were introduced by Stanley [18], who gave a classification of nullity classes (particularly, aisles thus t-structures) in the derived categories $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{perf}}(R)$ of perfect complexes and also in $\mathrm{D}^b_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$ of bounded derived category whose objects have finitely generated homologies. We generalized his result in the current paper. Recently, translated into our language, Tarrio, Lopez and Saorin [19] gave a classification of compactly generated aisles in $\mathrm{D}^+_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$ for a commutative Noetherian ring R, also via the perversity functions over Spec$R$.

In our classification of nullity classes, the cellular tower obstruction of objects in $\mathrm{D}(R)$ and their homology supports were used. We strengthened the assumption on the category $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$ to $\mathrm{D}^c_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$ in which every object has a cofibrant representative with each degree finitely generated, thanks to the model category theory (see Dwyer and Spalinski [7] and Hovey [13], for example). The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 and Section 3, we introduce the basic notions such as nullity classes and support of modules. Then in Section 4, we show as Lemma 4.7 that to construct an object M in $\mathrm{D}(R)$ it is sufficient to use the quotient $R/\mathfrak{p}$ by primes $\mathfrak{p}$ in the support of M. In Section 5, a technical lemma is proved as Lemma 5.10, which roughly shows the converse statement of Lemma 4.7 provided that the ring R has finite Krull dimension. Finally, the complete invariant of nullity classes in $\mathrm{D}^c_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$ is given

in the last section, also it gives a complete invariant of aisles or t-structures in the same category.

Through out the paper, we assume that the ring R is commutative Noetherian with identity.

2 Support and associated primes

Let $\mathrm{D}(R)$ be the derived category of a ring R. For the definitions and various properties of $\mathrm{D}(R)$ one can see [21] for example. We will adopt the following notations for various full triangulated subcategories of $\mathrm{D}(R)$:

(1) The category $\mathrm{D}^{*}_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$ ($* = b, +, -,$ blank, resp.) consists of (bounded, bounded below, bounded above, blank, resp.) chain complexes of R-modules whose homologies are finitely generated;
(2) The category $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{perf}}(R)$ consists of bounded complexes of finitely generated projective R-modules, i.e. *compact* objects, the Hom functors represented by such objects commute with coproducts;
(3) The category $\mathrm{D}^{c}_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$ consists of objects which can be represented by cofibrant objects finitely generated in each degree. See Proposition 2.4 for detail.

We denote by $\mathrm{Spec}R$ the prime spectrum of R, i.e. the set of all prime ideals of R. For each R-module M, we can consider two useful subsets of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$. Let $\mathrm{ann}^{R}(x) = \{r \in R \mid rx = 0\}$ be the annihilator of $x \in M$ in R and write $M_{\mathfrak{p}} = M \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for abbreviation. The set of *associated primes* of M is defined as $\mathrm{Ass}M = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R) \mid \mathfrak{p} = \mathrm{ann}^{R}(x) \text{ for some } x \in M\}$, and the *support* of M is defined as $\mathrm{Supp}M = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}(R) \mid M_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0\}$.

Similarly, we can define the set of associated primes and the support of an object $M \in \mathrm{D}(R)$ by setting $\mathrm{Ass}M = \bigcup_i \mathrm{Ass}H_i(M)$ and $\mathrm{Supp}M = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}R \mid M \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 0\} = \bigcup_i \mathrm{Supp}H_i(M)$. Then the following properties about R-modules can be generalized to the case of chain complexes of R-modules without difficulty.

Let $U \subseteq \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ be a subset. Define $\overline{U} = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}R \mid \mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{q} \text{ for some } \mathfrak{q} \in U\}$ to be the *closure* of U *under specialization*. Particularly, we also denote by $V(\mathfrak{p})$ for $\overline{U}$ when $U = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let A_i, A, B and C be R-modules and $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$ a short exact sequence. Then*
(1) $\mathrm{Ass}\, A \subset \mathrm{Ass}\, B \subset \mathrm{Ass}\, A \cup \mathrm{Ass}\, C$;
(2) $\mathrm{Supp}\, B = \mathrm{Supp}\, A \cup \mathrm{Supp}\, C$;
(3) $\mathrm{Ass}\, C \subset \overline{\mathrm{Ass}\, B} = \mathrm{Supp}\, B$;
(4) $\mathrm{Supp} \bigoplus_i A_i = \bigcup_i \mathrm{Supp}\, A_i$.

Proof. These results can be found in Chapter 3 of [1], Chapter II and IV of [6]. □

Example 2.2. *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal and $k(\mathfrak{p})$ the corresponding residue field. Take $\mathfrak{q} = \operatorname{ann}^R(\frac{r}{s} + \mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}) \in \operatorname{Ass} k(\mathfrak{p})$, where $r \in R$ and $s \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Then for every $x \in \mathfrak{q}$ we have $x(\frac{r}{s} + \mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}$ thus $\frac{x}{1} \in \mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}$ since $k(\mathfrak{p})$ is a field. In particular, $x \in \mathfrak{p}$. Hence $\operatorname{Ass} k(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{p}\}$. Furthermore, Lemma 2.1 implies that $\operatorname{Supp} k(\mathfrak{p}) = \overline{\operatorname{Ass} k(\mathfrak{p})} = V(\mathfrak{p})$. It is clear that $\operatorname{Supp} R/\mathfrak{p} = V(\mathfrak{p})$, also see Exercise 3.19 in [1].*

We recall the Nakayama's Lemma for convenience, which usually allows us to deduce contradictions when considering the annihilation properties of homologies.

Lemma 2.3. *(Nakayama) Let R be a commutative ring with identity. Suppose M is a finitely generated R-module and $\mathfrak{a}$ is an ideal of R contained in the Jacobson radical of R. Then $\mathfrak{a}M = M$ implies $M = 0$.*

Proof. See Proposition 2.6 in [1]. □

The model category structure we are using here on the category $\mathrm{Ch}(R)$ of chain complexes comes from [13], in which the *cofibrant* objects are exactly the *DG-projective* complexes, i.e. *K-projective* complexes such that each degree is projective. Here a complex P is K-projective if and only if the functor $\mathbf{Hom}_R(P, -)$ sends acyclic complexes to acyclic ones, or equivalently, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}(R)}(P, X) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{K}(R)}(P, X)$ for all X. See Proposition 1.4 in [17]. One can consult the definition of *total Hom chain complexes* $\mathbf{Hom}_R(-,-)$ in Chapter V of [10] and properties of Hom functors in $\mathrm{D}(R)$ in Chapter 10 of [21]

We denote by $k(\mathfrak{p})$ the residue field of a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, defined as $k(\mathfrak{p}) = R_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}$.

Proposition 2.4. *Suppose $X \in \mathrm{D}^c_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$. Then*
(1) $\mathrm{Hom}(X, \Sigma^n \bigoplus_\alpha Y_\alpha) \cong \bigoplus \mathrm{Hom}(X, \Sigma^n Y_\alpha)$ for all R-modules Y_α;
(2) $X \otimes k(\mathfrak{p}) \cong \bigoplus_i \Sigma^i \bigoplus_{\alpha \in I(i)} k(\mathfrak{p})$ for finite $I(i)$;
(3) $\mathrm{Hom}(X, Y) \otimes R_\mathfrak{p} \cong \mathrm{Hom}(X_\mathfrak{p}, Y_\mathfrak{p})$ for every $Y \in \mathrm{D}^b(R)$;
(4) X has a projective representative which is finitely generated in each degree.

Proof. For Property (1), since X can be represented by a cofibrant object which is in particular K-projective, Proposition 1.4 in [17] implies that it is sufficient to show the functor $\mathrm{Hom}(X_i, -)$ commutes with direct sums in the category of R-modules, which is clear since each X_i is finitely generated. Property (2) comes from Lemma 2.17 in [5]. Property (3) is part of our Proposition 2.5, and Property (4) is exactly the first part of Lemma 2.3.6 in [13], since the lifting property of cofibration implies the projectivity. □

We end this section by showing that in $\mathrm{D}(R)$, under some sufficient conditions on the two variables of the Hom functor, with which the localization functor commutes. This is usually a key step when considering generalization from local to global. Nevertheless, this proposition is not always true in general.

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose one of the following conditions holds,*
(1) $M \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{perf}}(R)$ *and* $N \in \mathrm{D}(R)$,
(2) $M \in \mathrm{D}^{+}_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$ *and* $N \in \mathrm{D}^{-}(R)$ *or*
(3) $M \in \mathrm{D}^{c}_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$ *and* $N \in \mathrm{D}^{b}(R)$.
Then for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$ *we have an isomorphism*

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}(R)}(M, N) \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}(R_{\mathfrak{p}})}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}})$$

as $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$*-modules.*

Proof. The key step is to observe that each degree $D_n = \prod_{p+q=n} \operatorname{Hom}_R(M_{-p}, N_q)$ of the total Hom chain complex $\mathbf{Hom}_R(M, N)$ becomes a coproduct. Take condition (1) for instance, other cases are similar except (3) which needs Property (4) of Proposition 2.4. Since M is bounded, the product is finite, hence $D_n \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \operatorname{Hom}_R(M_{-p}, N_q)$. Note that each M_i is finitely generated and $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is flat, $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M_{-p}, N_q) \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{-p} \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_q \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}})$ by Proposition 2.10 in [8]. Thus $D_n \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong \bigoplus_{p+q=n} \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{-p} \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_q \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}})$, which is the degree n part of the chain complex $\mathbf{Hom}_R(M, N) \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, or $\mathbf{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}})$ by the definition of total Hom chain complex. Since M is bounded below and projective in each degree, then we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}(R)}(M, N) \cong H_0(\mathbf{Hom}_R(M, N))$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Hom}_{D(R)}(M, N) \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}} &\cong H_0(\mathbf{Hom}_R(M, N)) \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong H_0(\mathbf{Hom}_R(M, N) \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ &\cong H_0(\mathbf{Hom}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}})) \cong \operatorname{Hom}_{D(R_{\mathfrak{p}})}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}}), \end{aligned}$$

where the second isomorphism comes from Proposition 2.5 in [8]. □

3 Aisles, t-structures and nullity classes

In this section, we introduce the basic notions properties of nullity classes and related topics such as aisles and t-structures, and establish their fundamental relations. Denote by $\mathcal{T}$ a triangulated category whose suspension functor is Σ. The basic properties of triangulated categories can be found in [3] and [21], for instance.

Definition 3.1. *A non-empty full subcategory* $\mathcal{A}$ *of* $\mathcal{T}$ *is a preaisle if:*
(1) for every $X \in \mathcal{A}$, *we have* $\Sigma X \in \mathcal{A}$;
(2) for every distinguished triangle $X \to Y \to Z \to \Sigma X$, *if* $X, Z \in \mathcal{A}$ *then* $Y \in \mathcal{A}$.

A preaisle $\mathcal{A}$ is called *cocomplete* if $\mathcal{A}$ is closed under coproducts. A preaisle $\mathcal{A}$ is called an *aisle* if the inclusion functor $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ admits a right adjoint. As an easy consequence of the definition of preaisles, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a preaisle of $\mathcal{T}$ then:*
(1) $\mathcal{A}$ contains the zero object, i.e. $0 \in \mathcal{A}$.
(2) if $X \in \mathcal{A}$ and $Y \in \mathcal{T}$ is isomorphic to X, then $Y \in \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Since $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$, we can take $X \in \mathcal{A}$. Then it suffices to consider the following two distinguished triangles $X \to 0 \to \Sigma X \to \Sigma X$ and $X \to Y \to 0 \to \Sigma X$. $\square$

The concept of t-structures traces back to the work of A.A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne in [3], and that of aisles was introduced in the work of B. Keller and D. Vossieck in [14]. The Theorem 3.4 implies that these two concepts are essentially the same thing.

Definition 3.3. *A t-structure on a triangulated category $\mathcal{T}$ is a pair of two subcategories $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{T}$ such that:*
(1) $\Sigma\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}' \subset \Sigma\mathcal{A}'$;
(2) for every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and every $B \in \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}'$, we have $\mathrm{Hom}(A, B) = 0$;
(3) for every $X \in \mathcal{T}$ there is a distinguished triangle $A \to X \to B \to \Sigma A$ such that $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \Sigma^{-1}\mathcal{A}'$.

Let $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{T}$ be a subcategory. We define $\mathcal{A}^{\perp} = \{X \in \mathcal{T} \mid \mathrm{Hom}(A, X) = 0 \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Notice that $\mathcal{A}^{\perp}$ still lies in $\mathcal{T}$. The following theorem is essentially the first proposition in [14].

Theorem 3.4. *A preaisle $\mathcal{A}$ is an aisle, that is the inclusion $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ admits a right adjoint, if and only if $(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{A}^{\perp})$ is a t-structure on $\mathcal{T}$.*

Associated to the t-structure $(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{A}^{\perp})$, we denote the right adjoint of the inclusion $\mathcal{A} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ by $(-)\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{A}$, and the left adjoint of the inclusion $\mathcal{A}^{\perp} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}$ by $P_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{A}^{\perp}$. These adjoints are called *truncation functors*, and we often refer to $P_{\mathcal{A}}$ the *nullification functor* as well. Due to Theorem 3.4, for every $X \in \mathcal{T}$ we obtain a natural triangle,

$$X\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow P_{\mathcal{A}}(X) \longrightarrow \Sigma X\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Suppose $(\mathcal{A}, \Sigma\mathcal{A}^{\perp})$ is a t-structure and $X \in \mathcal{T}$. Then we have a distinguished triangle $A \to X \to B \to \Sigma A$ with $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{A}^{\perp}$. Applying the functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A', -)$ to this triangle for any $A' \in \mathcal{A}$ and noticing that $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$ is full, we have an exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A', \Sigma^{-1}B) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A', A) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A', X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A', B),$$

where both ends are trivial since $B \in \mathcal{A}^{\perp}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\perp}$ is closed under desuspension by definition. Hence $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(A', A) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A', X)$ is an isomorphism, which is induced by $u : A = X\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle \to X$.

Conversely, suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is an aisle. The adjointness $\Sigma \dashv \Sigma^{-1}$ of suspension functors implies $\mathcal{A}' = \Sigma\mathcal{A}^{\perp}$ is closed under desuspension. Now suppose

$$X\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle \xrightarrow{u} X \xrightarrow{v} Y \xrightarrow{w} \Sigma X\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle$$

is a distinguished triangle, it remains to show that $Y \in \mathcal{A}^{\perp}$. Indeed, let $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}}(A, Y)$. Then we have a morphism between two distinguished triangles by definition

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle & \xrightarrow{h} & B & \longrightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{wf} & \Sigma X\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle \\ \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle g} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \| \\ X\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle & \xrightarrow{u} & X & \xrightarrow{v} & Y & \xrightarrow{w} & \Sigma X\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle. \end{array}$$

It follows from the adjunction u_* and the commutativity of the first square that $u = gh = u_*(g')h = ug'h$, i.e. $g'h = id_{X\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle}$, for some $g' : B \to X\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle$. Thus $wf = 0$. Hence f factors through X as $f = vf'$ for some $f' : A \to X$. Therefore $f = vf' = vu_*(f'') = vuf'' = 0$ for some $f'' : A \to X\langle\mathcal{A}\rangle$, by using the adjunction u_* again. □

As an immediate consequence we have

Corollary 3.5. *Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is an aisle, then $P_{\mathcal{A}}(X) = 0$ implies $X \in \mathcal{A}$.*

Next we move into the study of the derived category $\mathrm{D}(R)$ of a ring R and its subcategories, nullity classes, basically following the work of Stanley, see his paper [18].

Definition 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathrm{D}(R)$ be a full triangulated subcategory and $\mathcal{A}$ be a cocomplete pre-aisle in $\mathrm{D}(R)$. A nullity class in $\mathcal{D}$ is a full subcategory of the form $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{D}$.*

we Let $E \in \mathrm{D}(R)$, and we denote by $\mathcal{A} = \overline{C(E)}$ the smallest nullity class containing E in $\mathrm{D}(R)$. We denote by $P_E = P_{\mathcal{A}}$ the nullification functor. Let $F \in \mathrm{D}(R)$ be another object, we denote by $E < F$ if $P_E(F) = 0$.

Proposition 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathrm{D}(R)$ be a full triangulated subcategory. Then every aisle in $\mathcal{D}$ is a nullity class and every nullity class is a pre-aisle.*

Proposition 3.7 was proved as Proposition 2.14 in [18], which tells us that nullity classes are slightly generalized aisles from preaisles.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $E, F \in \mathrm{D}(R)$. Then $E < F$ if and only if $\overline{C(F)} \subseteq \overline{C(E)}$. Moreover, $\overline{C(E)} = \{X \in \mathrm{D}(R) \mid P_E(X) = 0\}$.*

Proof. See Proposition 3.3 in [18]. □

Clearly, Proposition 3.8 implies that the killing relation "$<$" is transitive. Also, it is easy to show by the Eilenberg Swindle that nullity classes are closed under retracts, since they are cocomplete and closed under suspensions and extensions.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\overline{C(E)}$ be a nullity class for some $E \in D(R)$. Then $\overline{C(E)}$ is closed under retracts.*

Proof. See Lemma 3.2 in [18]. □

Finally, we can deduce the following lemma from the advantage of being a nullity class.

Lemma 3.10. *Suppose $E \in \mathrm{D}(R)$. If $\mathfrak{p} \notin \mathrm{Supp} H_i(E)$ for $i \leqslant n$, then for every $X \in \overline{C(E)}$, we have $\mathfrak{p} \notin \mathrm{Supp} H_i(X)$ for $i \leqslant n$.*

Proof. Notice that the condition $H_i(X) \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ for $i \leqslant k$ is closed under suspensions, direct sums and extensions. Indeed, the conclusion follows from the properties that $H_i(\Sigma X) \cong H_{i-1}(X)$, the homology functors commute with direct sums and the localization functor at $\mathfrak{p}$ is exact, see Proposition 3.3 in [1]. □

4 Koszul complexes and annihilation

As typical objects in the derived category $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{perf}}(R)$, the Koszul complexes play a crucial role in this paper. Their various properties can be found in [8] and [21], for example. We prove in this section as Lemma 4.7 that in the light of the annihilation property of Koszul complexes, the quotients $R/\mathfrak{p}$ by $\mathfrak{p}$ which comes from the support of an object $M \in \mathrm{D}(R)$ are sufficient to generate M.

Notation 4.1. *Let $\mathfrak{p} = (x_1, ..., x_k)$ be an ideal in R. Denote by $K(\mathfrak{p}) = K(x_1, ..., x_k)$ the Koszul complex associated to the sequence $x_1, ..., x_k$ and $K' = K(x_1^{n_1}, ..., x_k^{n_k})$ for some powers $n_1, ..., n_k \in \mathbb{N}$. Also we define $K(0) = R$, and inductively define*

$$K(i) = \mathrm{Cone}(K(i-1) \xrightarrow{x_i} K(i-1)),$$

as the mapping cone of the multiplication map by x_i. Moreover, for every $M \in \mathrm{D}(R)$ we define $M(i) = M \otimes K(i)$. In particular, $M(k) = M \otimes K(\mathfrak{p})$. Similarly, we define $K'(0) = R$ and $K'(i) = \mathrm{Cone}(K'(i-1) \xrightarrow{x_i^{n_i}} K'(i-1))$ for $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $H_0(K(\mathfrak{p})) = R/\mathfrak{p}$, see Section 17.2 in [8].

Recall the annihilation property of Koszul complexes proved as Proposition 17.14 in [8].

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose M is an R-module and $\mathfrak{p}$ is an ideal of R having k generators. Then for each $i = 0, 1, ..., k$, the homology $H_i(M \otimes_R K(\mathfrak{p}))$ is annihilated by every $x \in \mathfrak{p}$. In particular, such x annihilates all the homologies of $K(\mathfrak{p})$.*

Example 4.3. *Let $\mathfrak{q} = \mathrm{ann}^R(a) \in \mathrm{Ass}\, H_i(K(\mathfrak{p}))$ with $a \in H_i(K(\mathfrak{p}))$. Then Proposition 4.2 implies that $a \cdot \mathfrak{p} = 0$ thus $\mathfrak{q} \in V(\mathfrak{p})$. Hence $\mathrm{Supp}\, K(\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{p})$. Also notice that $\mathrm{Supp}\, H_0(K(\mathfrak{p})) = V(\mathfrak{p})$ by Example 2.2. Therefore, $\mathrm{Supp}\, K(\mathfrak{p}) = V(\mathfrak{p})$.*

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal ideal of R having k generators. Then $H_i(K(\mathfrak{m})) \cong \bigoplus_{\Lambda_i} k(\mathfrak{m})$ for some index set Λ_i. In particular, $H_0(K(\mathfrak{m})) \cong H_k(K(\mathfrak{m})) \cong k(\mathfrak{m})$.*

Proof. Notice that the differentials of Koszul complex $K(\mathfrak{m})$ is defined by multiplication by the generators of $\mathfrak{m}$, the computation follows immediately from Proposition 4.2 since $\mathfrak{m}$ is maximal and $R/\mathfrak{m} \cong k(\mathfrak{m})$. $\square$

The next technical result of annihilation roughly says that for a fixed element $x \in R$, every map with domain a perfect complex X and arbitrary codomain Y can be annihilated by some power of x as soon as the homologies of Y can be annihilated by some power of x. Therefore, in particular, it allows us to obtain a nonzero map from a Koszul complex to an appropriate object in $\mathrm{D}(R)$, as Lemma 4.6 shows, which is crucial in the proof of Lemma 4.7.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $X \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{perf}}(R)$ and $x \in R$. Let $Y \in \mathrm{D}(R)$ and $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism in $\mathrm{D}(R)$. Suppose for every $*$ and every $\alpha \in H_*(Y)$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^n\alpha = 0$. Then $x^l f = 0$ for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. This is proved by induction using the filtration $X(i) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{i} X_j$ on X, assuming that X is bounded between dimension 0 and $k \geqslant 0$ with each degree free on finitely many generators.

First we show the case for $k = 0$, i.e. X has nontrivial homology for only degree zero. Then that X is perfect implies $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}(R)}(X, Y) \cong \bigoplus \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}(R)}(R, Y) \cong \bigoplus H_0(Y)$, which has only finitely many summands by assumption. Hence any $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{D}(R)}(X, Y)$ is annihilated by some power of x.

In general, for $k \geq 1$ we set $f_{k-1}^{(k-1)} = f^{(k-1)} i_{k-1}$, $f_k^{(k-1)} = f^{(k-1)} i_k$ and $f^{(k-1)} = x^{n_{k-2}} f^{(k-2)}$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X(k-1) & \xrightarrow{i_{k-1,k}} & X(k) & \xrightarrow{i_k} & X \\ {\scriptstyle f_{k-1}^{(k-1)}}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle f_k^{(k-1)}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f^{(k-1)}} \\ Y & = & Y & = & Y \end{array}$$

where $i_{k-1} = i_k \circ i_{k-1,k}$ and $f^{(0)} = f$. We replace the three vertical maps by $f_{k-1}^{(k)} = x^{n_{k-1}} f_{k-1}^{(k-1)} = 0$, $f_k^{(k)} = x^{n_{k-1}} f_k^{(k-1)}$ and $f^{(k)} = x^{n_{k-1}} f^{(k-1)}$, still preserving the commutativity, where $f_{k-1}^{(k)} = 0$ for some power n_{k-1} by induction. Hence there exists a map ρ_k making the following diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X(k-1) & \xrightarrow{i_{k-1,k}} & X(k) & \xrightarrow{\pi_k} & X(k)/X(k-1) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma X(k-1) \\ {\scriptstyle f_{k-1}^{(k)}=0}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle f_k^{(k)}}\downarrow & & \exists\downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_k} & & \\ Y & = & Y & = & Y & & \end{array}$$

so that $x^{n_k}\rho_k = 0$ for some n_k implies $x^{n_k} f_k^{(k)} = 0$. Therefore, since $X(k) = X$ thus $i_k = id_X$ and $f^{(k-1)} = x^{\sum_{i=0}^{k-2} n_i} f$ is defined recursively, we deduce that

$$0 = x^{n_k} f_k^{(k)} = x^{n_k} x^{n_{k-1}} f_k^{(k-1)} = x^{n_k} x^{n_{k-1}} f^{(k-1)} i_k = x^{\sum_{i=0}^{k} n_i} f,$$

as we required. $\square$

Lemma 4.6. *Let $M \in D(R)$. Suppose $\mathfrak{p} = (x_1, ..., x_k) \in \mathrm{Supp}M$ is minimal such that $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp}H_n(M)$ for some n. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_{D(R)}(\Sigma^n K'(\mathfrak{p}), M) \neq 0$, for appropriate powers.*

Proof. Notice that $K'(\mathfrak{p})$ is a perfect complex, by Proposition 2.5 it suffices to show that $\mathrm{Hom}_{D(R_\mathfrak{p})}(\Sigma^n K'(\mathfrak{p})_\mathfrak{p}, M_\mathfrak{p}) \neq 0$. Indeed, for every $*$ for which $H_*(M) \neq 0$ and, for a decomposition of a cyclic module generated by a nontrivial element $\alpha \in H_*(M)$, we can deduce that

$$\mathfrak{p}_i R_\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}R_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}_i R_\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathrm{Ass}(R\alpha)_\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathrm{Ass}H_*(M_\mathfrak{p}) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}),$$

where the last inclusion holds because the localization at $\mathfrak{p}$ kills all the primes containing $\mathfrak{p}$ and the minimality of $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp}M$ implies that all such primes $\mathfrak{p}_i R_\mathfrak{p}$ must be identified with $\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}$. Inductively for each $j = 1, ..., k$ there is some n_j such that α is annihilated by $x_j^{n_j}$.

For simplicity, we now assume that R is local. Suppose $0 \neq f_0 \in \mathrm{Hom}(K'(0), M) \cong H_0(M)$. Since for any $\alpha \in H_*(M)$ some power of x_1 annihilates α, there is by Lemma 4.2 some $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_1^{n_1}$ annihilates f_0, hence the map f_0 extends to a map $f_1 : K'(1) \to M$ by considering the distinguished triangle

$$K'(0) \xrightarrow{x_1^{n_1}} K'(0) \longrightarrow K'(1) \longrightarrow \Sigma K'(0).$$

Observe that the fact $H_0(f_0) \neq 0$ implies that $H_0(f_1) \neq 0$ since f_0 factors through f_1. Now suppose the maps $f_j : K'(j) \to M$ are constructed such that $H_0(f_j) \neq 0$ for $j \leqslant k-1$. By Lemma 4.2 again, that some power of x_k annihilates $H_*(M)$ implies the existence of some $n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_k^{n_k} f_{k-1} = 0$. Now consider the distinguished triangle

$$K'(k-1) \xrightarrow{x_k^{n_k}} K'(k-1) \longrightarrow K'(k) = K' \longrightarrow \Sigma K'(k-1),$$

the annihilation implies there is an extension $f = f_k : K' \to M$. Our inductive assumption implies that $H_0(f) \neq 0$, as we required. $\square$

In particular, if $M \in \mathrm{D}(R)$ satisfies $\mathrm{Supp}M = V(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{Supp}H_n(M)$ for some prime $\mathfrak{p}$, then Lemma 4.6 applies. We end this section by proving a generalization of Lemma 5.3 in [18], which shows the same thing but in the case when the object M is bounded.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $M \in D(R)$. Then $\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\in\mathrm{Supp}H_i(M)} \Sigma^i R/\mathfrak{p} < M$.*

Proof. Denote by $E = E(M) = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\in \mathrm{Supp}H_i(M)} \Sigma^i R/\mathfrak{p}$. We prove it by contradiction, assuming that $P_E M \neq 0$. Notice that $E < M\langle E\rangle$ so that $\mathrm{Ass}H_{i-1}M\langle E\rangle \subseteq \mathrm{Supp}H_{i-1}(E) \subseteq \mathrm{Supp}H_{i-1}(M)$. Thus $\mathrm{Ass}H_i P_E M \subseteq \mathrm{Supp}H_i(M) \cup \mathrm{Ass}H_{i-1}M\langle E\rangle \subseteq \mathrm{Supp}H_i(M) \cup \mathrm{Supp}H_{i-1}(M)$ by Lemma 5.4 in [18]. Since every Noetherian local ring has finite dimension (see Corollary 11.11 in [1]), one can pick up a minimal prime in $\bigcup_i \mathrm{Ass}H_i P_E M$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp}H_n(M)$ for some n. The natural distinguished triangle

$$M\langle E\rangle \to M \to P_E M \to \Sigma M\langle E\rangle$$

allows us to identity $\mathfrak{p}$ to be minimal also in $\mathrm{Supp}M$. Hence by Lemma 4.6 there is a map $f : \Sigma^n K(\mathfrak{p}) \to P_E M$ such that $H_n(f) \neq 0$. Therefore, the fact $E < \Sigma^n K(\mathfrak{p})$ by Lemma 5.3 in [18] implies $\mathrm{Hom}(\Sigma^j E, P_E M) \neq 0$ for some $i \geq 0$ by Proposition 3.4 in [18], a contradiction. □

5 The key lemma

This section presents the key ingredient, Lemma 5.10 , in order to produce an invariant of nullity classes. In fact, this lemma implies the injectivity of the map ϕ defined in the next section, which maps the class of aisles in the derived category $\mathrm{D}^c_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$ into the class of perversity functions on the power set $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Spec}R)$.

We first recall two facts which are Lemma 6.1 and Lemma 6.2 respectively in [18].

Lemma 5.1. *If $E < F$, then $E \otimes M < F \otimes M$ for every $M \in \mathrm{D}(R)$.*

Lemma 5.2. *If $M \in \mathrm{D}(R)$ such that $H_i(M) = 0$ for $i < 0$, then $R < M$.*

In Notation 4.1 we define the Koszul complex $K(i)$ as the mapping cone of $x_i : K(i-1) \to K(i-1)$ with $K(0) = R$ and $M(i) = K(i) \otimes M$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $M \in \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$ and $H_n(M) \neq 0$ for some n. Then*
(1) if (R, m) is local, then $H_n(M \otimes K(\mathfrak{m})) \neq 0$ and,
(2) in general, there is a $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp}H_n(M)$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp}H_n(M \otimes K(\mathfrak{p}))$.

Proof. Suppose R is local with $\mathfrak{m} = (x_1, ..., x_k)$. Then we have distinguished triangles

$$M(i) \xrightarrow{x_{i+1}} M(i) \longrightarrow M(i+1)$$

which induce long exact sequences on homologies

$$H_n(M(i)) \xrightarrow{x_{i+1}} H_n(M(i)) \longrightarrow H_n(M(i+1)).$$

It turns out inductively on i, the homology $H_n(M(i+1)) \neq 0$ because otherwise, the induced map x_{i+1} on the finitely generated module $H_n(M(i))$ is surjective, so that Lemma 2.3 implies $H_n(M(i)) = 0$, contradicting our assumption.

In general, since $H_n(M) \neq 0$, there is a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of R such that $H_n(M_\mathfrak{p}) \cong H_n(M) \otimes R_\mathfrak{p} \neq 0$ by Proposition 3.8 in [1]. Then $H_n(M \otimes K(\mathfrak{p})) \otimes R_\mathfrak{p} \cong H_n(M_\mathfrak{p} \otimes K(\mathfrak{p})_\mathfrak{p}) \cong H_n(M_\mathfrak{p} \otimes K(\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p})) \neq 0$ by (1) since $(R_\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p})$ is local and $M_\mathfrak{p} \in D_{\rm fg}(R_\mathfrak{p})$. $\square$

It is well-known that associated to each standard truncation $\tau_{\geq n}$ of an object M in $\mathrm{D}(R)$ there is a distinguished triangle

$$\tau_{\geq n}M \to M \to \tau_{<n}M$$

and also that $\tau_{\geq n}\tau_{\leq n}M \cong \tau_{\leq n}\tau_{\geq n}M \cong \Sigma^n H_n(M)$, where $\tau_{\leq n} = \tau_{<n+1}$. In particular, we have a distinguished triangle

$$\tau_{\geq n+1}M \to \tau_{\geq n}M \to \Sigma^n H_n(M)$$

for each n. See for example [3] and [21].

Lemma 5.4. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a local ring whose maximal ideal has k generators and $M \in \mathrm{D}_{\rm fg}(R)$. Suppose $H_i(M \otimes k(\mathfrak{m})) = 0$ for $n - k \leq i \leq n$. Then $H_n(M \otimes K(\mathfrak{m})) = 0$.*

Proof. Truncating $K(\mathfrak{m})$ each step a homology $\Sigma^i H_i(K(\mathfrak{m}))$, we have a filtration

$$0 = K_{k+1} \to K_k \to K_{k-1} \to \cdots \to K_1 \to K_0 = K(\mathfrak{m})$$

for $K(\mathfrak{m})$ with $K_i = \tau_{\geq i}K(\mathfrak{m})$ such that $K_{i+1} \to K_i \to \Sigma^i H_i(K(\mathfrak{m}))$ is a distinguished triangle for each $i = 0, 1, ..., k$. Since tensor product preserves distinguished triangles, the sequence

$$0 = M \otimes K_{k+1} \to M \otimes K_k \to M \otimes K_{k-1} \to \cdots \to M \otimes K_1 \to M \otimes K_0 = M \otimes K(\mathfrak{m})$$

remains a collection of distinguished triangles. Hence by taking the n-th homology and the long exact sequences, $H_i(M \otimes k(\mathfrak{m})) = 0$ for $n - k \leq i \leq n$ implies $H_n(M \otimes \Sigma^i H_i(K(\mathfrak{m}))) \cong H_{n-i}(M \otimes (\oplus k(\mathfrak{m}))) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, ..., k$ by Corollary 4.4. Consequently, $H_n(M \otimes K_i) = 0$ for each i. In particular, $H_n(M \otimes K(\mathfrak{m})) = 0$ as required. $\square$

Corollary 5.5. *Suppose $M \in \mathrm{D}_{\rm fg}(R)$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal having k generators, such that $H_i(M \otimes k(\mathfrak{p})) = 0$ for $n - k \leq i \leq n$. Then $H_n(M_\mathfrak{p}) = 0$.*

Proof. Notice that $M \otimes k(\mathfrak{p}) \cong M_\mathfrak{p} \otimes k(\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p})$. Thus $H_n(M_\mathfrak{p} \otimes K(\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p})) = 0$ by Lemma 5.4. Hence $H_n(M_\mathfrak{p}) = 0$ thanks to Lemma 5.3. $\square$

The following lemma is a generalization of Lemma 6.4 in [18] in which the object is assumed to be bounded.

Lemma 5.6. *Suppose $M \in D_{\rm fg}(R)$ and $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp}H_n(M)$ has k generators. Then $M < \Sigma^{n-i}k(\mathfrak{p})$ for some $0 \leq i \leq k$. In particular, $M < \Sigma^{n-i}k(\mathfrak{q})$ for every $\mathfrak{q} \in V(\mathfrak{p})$.*

Proof. Observe that $R < k(\mathfrak{p})$ implies $M < M \otimes k(\mathfrak{p})$ by Lemma 5.1 and 5.2, which is a direct sum of suspensions of finite copies of $k(\mathfrak{p})$ by Lemma 2.17 in [5]. Thus $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp}H_n(M)$ implies $H_{n-i}(M \otimes k(\mathfrak{p})) \neq 0$ for some $0 \leq i \leq k$ by Lemma 5.5. Hence $M < \Sigma^{n-i}k(\mathfrak{p})$, since nullity class is closed under retracts. The second statement follows from the fact that the support sets are specialization closed. □

The proof of the next lemma follows precisely that of Proposition 6.6 in [18]. For convenience, we denote by $\bar{k}(\mathfrak{p}) = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \in V(\mathfrak{p})} k(\mathfrak{q})$.

Lemma 5.7. *Suppose* $\dim R/\mathfrak{p}$ *is finite. Then* $\bar{k}(\mathfrak{p}) < \Sigma^{\dim R/\mathfrak{q}} R/\mathfrak{q}$ *for every* $\mathfrak{q} \in V(\mathfrak{p})$.

Proof. Prove by induction on $\dim R/\mathfrak{p}$. Suppose $\dim R/\mathfrak{p} = 0$, i.e. $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$ is maximal, then the inequality holds since $k(\mathfrak{m}) \cong R/\mathfrak{m}$. Now for a fixed $\mathfrak{q} \in V(\mathfrak{p})$, assume $\bar{k}(\mathfrak{p}) < \Sigma^{\dim R/\mathfrak{q}'} R/\mathfrak{q}'$ for every $\mathfrak{q}' \in V(\mathfrak{q}) - \{\mathfrak{q}\}$. Consider the short exact sequence of R-modules

$$0 \to R/\mathfrak{q} \xrightarrow{f} k(\mathfrak{q}) \to M \to 0$$

where f is the natural map and M its quotient. Since $f \otimes R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ becomes an isomorphism, $\mathrm{Supp}M \subseteq V(\mathfrak{q}) - \{\mathfrak{q}\}$. Hence by induction together with Lemma 4.7, we can deduce that $\bar{k}(\mathfrak{p}) < \Sigma^{\dim R/\mathfrak{q}-1} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}' \in \mathrm{Supp}M} R/\mathfrak{q}' < \Sigma^{\dim R/\mathfrak{q}-1} M$. Furthermore, the distinguished triangle

$$\Sigma^{\dim R/\mathfrak{q}-1} M \to \Sigma^{\dim R/\mathfrak{q}} R/\mathfrak{q} \to \Sigma^{\dim R/\mathfrak{q}} k(\mathfrak{q})$$

allows us to conclude that $\bar{k}(\mathfrak{p}) < \Sigma^{\dim R/\mathfrak{q}} R/\mathfrak{q}$, since nullity classes are closed under retracts and extensions. □

As an easy consequence we can show the following statement.

Corollary 5.8. *Suppose* $\dim R/\mathfrak{p}$ *is finite. Then* $\bar{k}(\mathfrak{p}) < \Sigma^{\dim R/\mathfrak{p}} K'(\mathfrak{p})$ *for every* K' *with arbitrary finite powers on the generators of* $\mathfrak{p}$. *In particular,* $k(\mathfrak{m}) < K'(\mathfrak{m})$ *if* $\mathfrak{m}$ *is maximal.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.7, $\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Supp}H_i(K'(\mathfrak{p}))} \Sigma^i R/\mathfrak{q} < K'(\mathfrak{p})$, thus by Lemma 5.7 we have $\bar{k}(\mathfrak{p}) < \Sigma^{\dim R/\mathfrak{p}} \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Supp}H_i(K'(\mathfrak{p}))} \Sigma^i R/\mathfrak{q} < \Sigma^{\dim R/\mathfrak{p}} K'(\mathfrak{p})$, since nullity class is closed under coproducts and suspensions. □

As a crucial step in proving our key lemma, we show that

Lemma 5.9. *Suppose* $M \in D^c_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$ *and* $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp}H_n(M)$, $\mathfrak{p} \notin \mathrm{Supp}H_i(M)$ *for* $i < n$. *Then there is a map* $f : M \to \Sigma^n H_n(M)$ *such that* $H_n(f_{\mathfrak{p}})$ *is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Notice that $H_i((\tau_{<n}M)_{\mathfrak{p}}) = H_i(\tau_{<n}M)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0$ for all $i < n$. Thus the natural map $(\tau_{\geq n}M)_{\mathfrak{p}} \to M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ associated to the standard truncation of M at n then localized at $\mathfrak{p}$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Hence

$$\mathrm{Hom}((\tau_{\geq n}M)_{\mathfrak{p}}, \Sigma^n H_n(M)_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathrm{Hom}(M_{\mathfrak{p}}, \Sigma^n H_n(M)_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \mathrm{Hom}(M, \Sigma^n H_n(M)) \otimes R_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

by Proposition 2.5. Therefore, there is a morphism $f : M \to \Sigma^n H_n(M)$ corresponding to the natural map $\pi : \tau_{\geq n}M \to \Sigma^n H_n(M)$ via the above isomorphisms. Since $H_n(\pi_\mathfrak{p})$ is an isomorphism, so is $H_n(f_\mathfrak{p})$. $\square$

Finally we are well-prepared to prove the key lemma.

Lemma 5.10. *Suppose* $\dim R$ *is finite and* $M \in \mathrm{D}^c_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$. *Then* $M < \Sigma^n R/\mathfrak{p}$ *for every* $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp}H_n(M)$.

Proof. It is not hard to show that for every degree m where $\mathfrak{p}$ stands, we have $M < \Sigma^{m+\dim R/\mathfrak{p}} R/\mathfrak{p}$ by Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 5.7 together with the transitivity of nullity classes. Define

$$l(\mathfrak{p}) = \inf\{i \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp}H_i(M)\}.$$

If $l(\mathfrak{p}) = -\infty$, then for each degree n where $\mathfrak{p}$ stands, $M < \Sigma^{m+\dim R/\mathfrak{p}} R/\mathfrak{p} < \Sigma^n R/\mathfrak{p}$ since m can be chosen such that $n - m - \dim R/\mathfrak{p} \geq 0$. So fix a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ and suppose $l = l(\mathfrak{p})$ is finite, i.e. $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp}H_l(M)$ and $\mathfrak{p} \notin \mathrm{Supp}H_i(M)$ for $i < l$. Also, suppose for every n and every $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Supp}H_n(M)$, $M < \Sigma^{n+k} R/\mathfrak{q}$ for $1 \leq k \leq \dim R/\mathfrak{q}$. We need to show that $M < \Sigma^l R/\mathfrak{p}$ by downward induction.

The finiteness of $l(\mathfrak{p})$ implies that there is a map $f : M \to \Sigma^l H_l(M)$ by Lemma 5.9. Now consider the distinguished triangle

$$M \xrightarrow{f} \Sigma^l H_l(M) \to M'$$

and the associated long exact sequence which splits into short ones as

$$0 \to H_{l+1}(M') \to H_l(M) \xrightarrow{H_l(f)} H_l(M) \to H_l(M') \to H_{l-1}(M) \to 0$$

and $H_{l+i+1}(M') \cong H_{l+i}(M)$ for either $i \geq 1$ or $i \leq -2$. Since $H_l(f_\mathfrak{p})$ is an isomorphism, thus $H_l(M')_\mathfrak{p} = 0$ so that $\mathrm{Supp}H_l(M') \subseteq \mathrm{Supp}H_l(M) \cup \mathrm{Supp}H_{l-1}(M) - \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ by Lemma 2.1. Next we show that $M < \Sigma^l R/\mathfrak{q}$ for every $\mathfrak{q} \in V(\mathfrak{p}) - \{\mathfrak{p}\}$. Since l is the minimal number at which $\mathfrak{p}$ stands, Lemma 6.7 in [18] implies that $M < N = M \otimes K(\mathfrak{q})$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Supp}H_l(N)$ and $\mathrm{Supp}N \subseteq V(\mathfrak{q})$. Therefore, it is sufficient to show $N < \Sigma^l R/\mathfrak{q}$ for every $\mathfrak{q} \in V(\mathfrak{p}) - \{\mathfrak{p}\}$, which is proved by induction on the dimension $\dim R/\mathfrak{q}$, similarly to the proof of Lemma 5.7.

In fact, if $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{m}$ is maximal then $N < \Sigma^l R/\mathfrak{m} = \Sigma^l k(\mathfrak{m})$ by Lemma 5.6. Now suppose it is true for every $\mathfrak{q} \in V(\mathfrak{p})$ such that $\dim R/\mathfrak{q} \leq \dim R/\mathfrak{p} - 2$, we need to show it is true for every $\mathfrak{q} \in V(\mathfrak{p}) - \{\mathfrak{p}\}$, i.e. $\dim R/\mathfrak{q} \leq \dim R/\mathfrak{p} - 1$. Consider the short exact sequence of R-modules

$$0 \to R/\mathfrak{q} \xrightarrow{\iota} k(\mathfrak{q}) \to A \to 0$$

where ι is the natural map and A its quotient. Since $\iota_\mathfrak{q}$ becomes an isomorphism, $\mathrm{Supp}A \subseteq V(\mathfrak{q}) - \{\mathfrak{q}\}$. Hence by induction together with Lemma 4.7, we can deduce that $N <$

$\Sigma^l \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{q}' \in \mathrm{Supp} A} R/\mathfrak{q}' < \Sigma^l A$. Furthermore, $N < \Sigma^l k(\mathfrak{q})$ by Lemma 5.6. Hence the distinguished triangle

$$\Sigma^l R/\mathfrak{q} \to \Sigma^l k(\mathfrak{q}) \to \Sigma^l A$$

allows us to conclude that $N < \Sigma^l R/\mathfrak{q}$ since nullity classes are closed under extensions.

Combine what we have shown that $M < \Sigma^l R/\mathfrak{q}$ for every $\mathfrak{q} \in V(\mathfrak{p}) - \{\mathfrak{p}\}$ and the fact that the support of M' is shifted down by one into the support of M for every degree, we deduce by the downward induction and Lemma 4.7 that $M < \bigoplus_i \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp} H_i(M')} \Sigma^i R/\mathfrak{p} < M'$. Hence $M < \Sigma^l H_l(M)$ since nullity classes are closed under extensions. Therefore, $M < \Sigma^l R/\mathfrak{p}$ by Lemma 6.8 in [18], and the proof is complete. □

Therefore, together with Lemma 4.7 our key lemma implies that in fact, each object in $\mathrm{D}(R)$ is completely determined by its support in the sense of construction of nullity classes, i.e. they generate the same category.

6 A complete invariant

Now we are ready to produce an invariant of nullity classes as well as aisles in the derived category $\mathrm{D}^c_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$, as soon as we establish the involved maps ϕ and N as follows.

We call a function $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Spec}\, R)$ a *perversity function* if $f(n) = \overline{f(n)}$, i.e. specialization closed, and $f(n) \subseteq f(n+1)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then for every nullity class $\mathcal{A}$ in a full triangulated subcategory $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathrm{D}(R)$ we define $\phi(\mathcal{A})$ by setting $\phi(\mathcal{A})(n) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}\, R \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp} H_n(M) \text{ for some } M \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Clearly such a function is increasing since nullity class is closed under suspensions and also $\phi(\mathcal{A})(n)$ is closed under specialization since the support set is. Hence the map

$$\phi : \{\text{nullity classes in } \mathcal{D}\} \to \{\text{perversity functions}\}$$

is well-defined. On the other hand, let $S(f) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in f(n)} \Sigma^n R/\mathfrak{p}$ and $\overline{C(S(f))}$ be the smallest nullity class containing $S(f)$. We define a function

$$N : \{\text{perversity functions}\} \to \{\text{nullity classes in } \mathcal{D}\}$$

which associates each perversity function f a nullity class $N(f) = \overline{C(S(f))} \cap \mathcal{D}$.

Lemma 6.1. *The maps N and ϕ are order preserving.*

Proof. Clearly ϕ is order preserving by definition. For N we consider f, g any two perversity functions such that $f \leqslant g$, i.e. $f(n) \subseteq g(n)$ for all n. Then $S(f)$ is a retract of $S(g)$ by definition, which implies that $S(f) \in \overline{C(S(g))}$ hence $\overline{C(S(f))} \subseteq \overline{C(S(g))}$. □

Theorem 6.2. *Suppose* $\dim R$ *is finite and* $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{D}^c_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$. *Then* $\phi : \{$*nullity classes in* $\mathcal{D}\} \to \{$*perversity functions*$\}$ *is a bijection with inverse given by* N.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a nullity class in $\mathcal{D}$. Take $M \in \mathcal{A}$. Then by Lemma 3.9 we have $S(\phi(\mathcal{A})) = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\in\phi(\mathcal{A})(n)} \Sigma^n R/\mathfrak{p} < \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\in \mathrm{Supp}H_n(M)} \Sigma^n R/\mathfrak{p}$ since the latter coproduct is a retract of the former one. Thus $S(\phi(\mathcal{A})) < M$ by Lemma 4.7, so that $M \in \overline{C(S(\phi(\mathcal{A})))}$. Hence $M \in N\phi(\mathcal{A})$. Conversely, for every $\mathfrak{p} \in \phi(\mathcal{A})(n)$ there is $M(\mathfrak{p}, n) \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp}H_n(M(\mathfrak{p}, n))$ by definition. Thus by Lemma 5.10 we have $M(\mathfrak{p}, n) < \Sigma^n R/\mathfrak{p}$, so that $M = \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\in\phi(\mathcal{A})(n)} M(\mathfrak{p}, n) < \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}\in\phi(\mathcal{A})(n)} \Sigma^n R/\mathfrak{p} = \overline{S(\phi(\mathcal{A}))}$. Hence $\overline{S(\phi(\mathcal{A}))} \in \overline{C(M)} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

On the other hand, we show that $\phi N(f)(n) = f(n)$ for every perversity function $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Spec}R)$ and every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Take $\mathfrak{p} \in f(n)$. Then $S(f) < \Sigma^n R/\mathfrak{p}$ since $\Sigma^n R/\mathfrak{p}$ is a retract of $S(f)$, so that $\Sigma^n R/\mathfrak{p} \in N(f)$. It follows that $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Ass}H_n(\Sigma^n R/\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{Ass}R/\mathfrak{p}$, thus $\mathfrak{p} \in \phi(N(f))(n)$. Conversely, suppose $\mathfrak{p} \in \phi(N(f))(n)$. Then there is some $M \in N(f) = \overline{(S(f))}$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp}H_n(M)$. Lemma 3.10 implies that $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Supp}H_i(S(f))$ for some $i \leq n$. Hence $\mathfrak{p} \in f(i) \subseteq f(n)$, as required. □

This theorem has immediate consequences as the following corollaries.

Corollary 6.3. *Every aisle in* $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{D}^c_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$ *with finite* $\dim R$ *is of the form* $\overline{C(E)} \cap \mathcal{D}$ *for some* $E \in \mathrm{D}(R)$.

Corollary 6.4. *Let* $\mathcal{D} = \mathrm{D}^c_{\mathrm{fg}}(R)$ *with finite* $\dim R$. *Suppose* $\mathcal{A}$ *and* $\mathcal{A}'$ *are aisles in* $\mathcal{D}$. *Then* $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{A}'$ *if and only if* $\phi(\mathcal{A}) \leqslant \phi(\mathcal{A}')$. *Thus* $\phi : \{$*aisles in* $\mathcal{D}\} \to \{$*perversity functions*$\}$ *is injective.*

Since aisles are nullity classes, the map ϕ gives a complete invariant for aisles (or t-structures) thanks to Theorem 6.2.

References

[1] M. F. Atiyah and I. G. Macdonald. *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1969.

[2] P. Balmer. The spectrum of prime ideals in tensor triangulated categories. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, (588):149–168, 2005.

[3] A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein, and P. Deligne. Faiscearx pervers. *Asterisque*, (100):5–171, 1982.

[4] D. Benson, S. B. Iyengar, and H. Krause. Statifying modular representations of finite groups. *Annals of Mathematics*, (174):1643–1684, 2011.

[5] M. Bökstedt and A. Neeman. Homotopy limits in triangluated categories. *Compositio Mathematica*, (86):209–234, 1993.

[6] N. Bourbaki. *Commutative Algebra, Chapters 1-7*. Springer-Verlag, 1988.

[7] W. Dwyer and J. Spalinski. Homotopy theories and model categories. In I. M. James, editor, *Handbook of Algebraic Topology*. 1995.

[8] D. Eisenbud. *Commutative Algebra with a View Toword Algebraic Geometry*, volume 150 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, 1994.

[9] P. Gabriel. Des catégories abéliennes. *Bulletin de la Société Mathématique de France*, (90):323–448, 1962.

[10] P. J. Hilton and U. Stanmmbach. *A Course in Homological Algebra*, volume 4 of *Graduate Text in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, 1970.

[11] M. Hochster. Prime ideal structure in commutative rings. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, (142):43–60, 1969.

[12] M. J. Hopkins. Global methods in homotopy theory. In *Homotopy Theory: Proceedings of the Durham Symposium 1985*. Cambridge University Press, 1987.

[13] M. Hovey. *Model Categories*, volume 63 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, 2007.

[14] B. Keller and D. Vossieck. Aisles in derived categories. *Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society*, (40):239–253, 1988.

[15] A. Neeman. The chromatic tower for $D(R)$. *Topology*, (3):519–532, 1992.

[16] A. Neeman. Colocalizing subcategorie of $D(R)$. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, (653):221–243, 2011.

[17] N. Spaltenstein. Resolutions of unbounded complexes. *Compositio Mathematica*, (65):121–154, 1988.

[18] D. Stanley. Invariants of t-structures and classification of nullity classes. *Advances in Mathematics*, (224):2662–2689, 2010.

[19] L. Alonso Tarrio, A. Jeremias Lopez, and M. Saorin. Compactly generated t-structures on the derived category of a noetherian ring. *Journal of Algebra*, (324):313–346, 2010.

[20] R. W. Thomason. The classification of triangulated subcategories. *Compositio Mathematica*, (105):1–27, 1997.

[21] C. Weibel. *An Intruduction to Homological Algebra*, volume 38 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 1993.